

Hancock Expressway / Academy Boulevard PEL Table-Top Discussion Questions Stakeholder Meeting – March 5, 2015

Given the nature of the corridor and the shared elements among the five alternatives, several criteria used to screen alternatives would provide less distinction among the intersection alternatives. For example, all alternatives have these elements in common:

- **Safety** – All alternatives are designed to be safer than existing conditions.
- **Congestion** – All alternatives include an additional northbound and southbound lane through the intersection that will improve existing and future traffic operations at the intersection.
- **Multimodal Accessibility** – All alternatives would include basic upgrades to pedestrian facilities such as sidewalks and pedestrian crossings at intersections.

Questions for Discussion

Transportation

1. What are your impressions of the alternatives as transportation corridors?

Is there one alternative that you think you would prefer to travel through:

- Driving a car?
- Traveling on foot?
- Riding a bicycle?
- Using a Mountain Metro bus?
-

Quality of Life / Livability

2. How do you think each alternative would help the quality of life or livability of this area?

- What are your impressions of how easy it would be to get to and from your home, business, or school, shopping or other destinations in each alternative?
- Is there one alternative you think would be best at enhancing the livability of this area?

Economic Investment

3. Do you think any of these alternatives would be better at encouraging more investment in the area?

- Why do you think one alternative would be better than others?

General Questions

4. Does your group have any remaining questions or comments about these five alternatives?

5. Do you have any comments to share with us about this process of developing a recommended alternative?

Prioritization – Refer to Access Scenario Graphic

The access scenario display shows two access scenarios. Scenario 1 shows a restricted access scenario in which vehicle mobility is prioritized over access and multimodal accessibility. Scenario 2 shows an access scenario providing full movement signalized access to adjacent properties. In Scenario 2, access is prioritized, creating opportunities for multimodal accessibility at the new access points. However, Scenario 2 would result in some higher travel time on Academy Boulevard through this area.

6. Do you have a preference for either access scenario?
 - Would you like to see more property access even if it means some increase in travel time on Academy?

Or should travel time be maintained and only restricted access be provided to adjacent properties?
 - What values do you see in connecting properties on either side of Academy Boulevard?
 - Are there other access scenarios that you can think of?